PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:		PCT		
see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 bis. 1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. PCT/US2005/003332	International filing date (c 28.01.2005	day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 02.02.2004		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. C12N15/00 A01H5/00 Applicant E. L. DURONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY as all				
E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY et al. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:				
Box No. I Basis of the op Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establish Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned star applicability; of Box No. VI Certain docum Box No. VII Certain defects Box No. VIII Certain observed: Box No. VIII Certain observed: FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international prewritten opinion of the Internation the applicant chooses an Author International Bureau under Rule will not be so considered.	Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to			
months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.	of Form PCT/ISA/220 or b	before the expiration o	of 22 months from the priority date,	
For further options, see Form PC 3. For further details, see notes to I				
Name and mailing address of the ISA:	ı	Authorized Officer	·	



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Vollbach, S

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8715



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/003332

'AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 19 JUL 2006

_						
	Box N	10. i	Basis of the opinion			
1.	With r the lar	egaro nguag	d to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ge in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	la	angua	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With reneces	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and essary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type	a. type of material:				
	\boxtimes	a se	equence listing			
		tabl	le(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. format of material:					
	\boxtimes	in w	vritten format			
	\boxtimes	in c	computer readable form			
	c. time	e of fil	ling/furnishing:			
	\boxtimes	con	tained in the international application as filed.			
	\boxtimes	filed	together with the international application in computer readable form.			
		furn	ished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.	na co	as bee opies	tion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto en filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as riate, were furnished.			
ŧ.	Additional comments:					

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Box No. V industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-22

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-22

No:

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-11, 13-22

No: Claims 22

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 19 JUL 2006 International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/US2005/003332

Re Item V.

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: SHUAI BIN ET AL: "The LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES gene defines a novel, plant-specific gene family" PLANT PHYSIOLOGY (ROCKVILLE), vol. 129, no. 2, June 2002 (2002-06), pages 747-761, XP002366088 ISSN: 0032-0889
 - D2: HOCHHOLDINGER FRANK ET AL: "From weeds to crops: Genetic analysis of root development in cereals." TRENDS IN PLANT SCIENCE, vol. 9, no. 1, January 2004 (2004-01), pages 42-48, XP002366089 ISSN: 1360-1385
 - D3: HETZ WINFRIED ET AL: "Isolation and characterization of rtcs, a maize mutant deficient in the formation of nodal roots" PLANT JOURNAL, BLACKWELL SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS, OXFORD, GB, vol. 10, no. 5, 1996, pages 845-857, XP002182550 ISSN: 0960-7412
 - D4: HOCHHOLDINGER FRANK ET AL: "Genetic dissection of root formation in maize (Zea mays) reveals root-type specific developmental programmes" ANNALS OF BOTANY (LONDON), vol. 93, no. 4, April 2004 (2004-04), pages 359-368, XP002366090 ISSN: 0305-7364
 - D5: DATABASE UniProt [Online] 1 March 2003 (2003-03-01), "Hypothetical protein OSJNBb0050N02.10." retrieved from EBI accession no. UNIPROT:Q8H081 Database accession no. Q8H081

The present application relates to nucleotide sequences which encode a peptide responsible for the RTCS (rootless for crown and seminal roots) phenotypeand transformed plants comprising these sequences.

There is no document in the prior art disclosing the RTCS protein and the gene encoding it.

The plant mutant RTCS has been identified (see D3), a hypothetical protein which shares 58.4% of sequence identity (see D5) and the LOB sequences which were shown to be

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2005/003332

expressed at the base of lateral organs in the shoots and roots of Arabidopsis (see D1). However, those proteins which are associated with the RTCS mutation have not been described. Therefore, the application contains patentable subject-matter.

However, the following objections should be taken into account.

The scope of protection as defined in the claims has been broadened from the specific sequence by the indication of a percentage of sequence identity ranging from 70 % to 99 % sequence identity. Generally broadening of a specific sequence without any limitation by function is inadmissible under Article 6 PCT. Therefore all product claims apart from claim 9 are objectionable under Article 6 PCT.

In as far as claim 12 is concerned, the present authority is of the opinion that the sequences of SEQ ID No. 2,3 and 4 cannot be maintained in the present application. It has nowhere been proven that these sequences are indeed promoter sequences of the RTCS protein. In fact theses sequences are sequences with no function which are not considered to be industrial applicable. It goes without saying that these sequences also do not combine with the remaining subject-matter in a manner as to form a single inventive concept. An explicit objection for lack of unity, however, is not raised which does not mean that this might not occur in a later stage of the prosecution of the present application.